

SNELL & WILMER'S
2006 - 2007 PRODUCT LIABILITY VERDICTS:
A TRADITION OF SUCCESS CONTINUES

Snell & Wilmer has developed a large and successful product liability defense group with extensive trial experience. Our attorneys represent local, national and international manufacturers and distributors in many industries serving as lead national and local counsel. Our experience and trial skills have produced an impressive record of favorable verdicts. The firm is particularly proud of the results we achieved in the following cases.

Clayton v. Ford Motor Company

Jurisdiction: Utah
Attorneys: Tim O'Neill, Dan Larsen and Kim Neville
Date: February 2007
Trial Length: Six weeks
Result: A defense verdict was returned on all counts after plaintiffs sought more than \$15 million in damages.



Gallegos v. Louisville Ladder, Inc.

Jurisdiction: Colorado
Attorneys: Tim O'Neill and Nathan Davis
Date: June 2006
Trial Length: One week
Result: A defense verdict was returned after plaintiffs sought damages for permanent injury.



Guerrero v. Ford Motor Company

Jurisdiction: California
Attorneys: Warren Platt and Elizabeth McNulty
Date: December 2006
Trial Length: Three months
Result: A defense verdict was returned after plaintiffs sought over \$60 million in compensatory damages as well as punitive damages.



Heck v. Lake Havasu City

Jurisdiction: Arizona
Attorneys: Patrick Fowler and Craig Logsdon
Date: December 2006
Trial Length: Eight days
Result: The jury returned a defense verdict concluding that Lake Havasu City acted responsibly in addressing air quality issues and public safety in the Channel, and that Mr. Heck did not die as a result of carbon monoxide exposure.



Horsch v. Ford Motor Company

Jurisdiction: Nevada
Attorneys: Kelly Evans and Jay Schuttert
Date: December 2006
Trial Length: Two weeks
Result: A defense verdict was returned in favor of Ford on all counts after plaintiffs sought compensatory damages of \$1.3 million and punitive damages.



Lopez, et al. v. Ford Motor Company

Jurisdiction: Texas
Attorney: Amy Samberg
Date: April 2007
Trial Length: Three weeks
Result: A defense verdict was returned after plaintiffs sought damages of \$150 million.



Matey v. Ford Motor Company

Jurisdiction: Idaho
Attorneys: Tim O'Neill and Ashley Krause
Date: March 2007
Trial Length: Three weeks
Result: A defense verdict was returned on all counts after plaintiffs sought more than \$31 million in damages and the co-defendant driver was assigned 100% fault.



McNeil v. Ford Motor Company

Jurisdiction: Florida
Attorneys: Vaughn Crawford
Date: June 2006
Trial Length: Three weeks
Result: A defense verdict was returned after plaintiffs sought in excess of \$10 million in damages.



Page v. Land Rover

Jurisdiction: Texas
Attorney: Lee Mickus
Date: January 2006
Trial Length: Two weeks
Result: A defense verdict was returned.



Pillado v. Ford Motor Company

Jurisdiction: California
Attorneys: Robert "Hoot" Gibson and Dan Rodman
Date: January 2007
Trial Length: Four months
Result: A defense verdict was returned in favor of Ford on all counts after plaintiff sought compensatory damages of \$13 million and punitive damages of \$5 million.



Procter & Gamble v. Haugen

Jurisdiction: Utah
Attorneys: Tracy H. Fowler and James D. Gardner
Date: March 2007
Trial Length: Two weeks
Result: Plaintiff's verdict for \$19.25 million. (Party represented: Procter & Gamble.)



Santos v. Ford Motor Company

Jurisdiction: New York
Attorneys: Lee Mickus and Kim Neville
Date: November 2006
Trial Length: Four weeks
Result: A defense verdict was returned after plaintiffs sought damages of more than \$25 million.



Snell & Wilmer
L.L.P.
LAW OFFICES

www.swlaw.com